DEJÀ VU
ALL OVER AGAIN

Speed Limits Raised, Fatalities Fall

BY CHARLES LAVE

Despite opposition from many national safety groups, in November 1995 Congress gave the states permission to raise speed limits. Opponents had testified that raising speed limits would cause an additional 4,400 to 6,000 deaths per year. Fortunately, it didn’t work out that way.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Number of Traffic Fatalities</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>41,893</td>
<td>+1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>42,700</td>
<td>+2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>43,900</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>43,593*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Accident Facts," National Safety Council, February 1997. 1996 data are preliminary: January to November from the NSC, December from NHTSA.

Fatalities did not increase. They did not rise by the 10 to 14 percent expected by the opponents of the change, nor even by the 2 to 3 percent that would be expected from recent trends. Instead, fatalities fell by 0.7 percent. This surprising outcome was not the result of a decline in travel: Total vehicle miles rose 1.8 percent between 1995 and 1996.

Although Congress gave permission to raise speed limits in November 1995, it took the states a while to create and pass new legislation, and only half of those that did react had done so by May 1996. The graph shows what happened as the new speed limits were phased-in during 1996.

A drop in fatalities following an increase in speed limits is not unprecedented. The 1987 change in speed limits produced similar results. In 1987 Congress gave the states permission to raise speed limits on portions of their Interstate highways. Some states raised speed limits, some did not. Comparing the subsequent fatality rates across these groups, holding constant a number of other factors, the states that raised speed limits experienced a 3.4 to 5.1 percent drop in fatality rates compared to the states that did not raise speeds.

Why didn’t fatalities increase in 1987 and 1996 as had been widely expected? My research cited three possible factors. Part of the answer is contained in testimony given to Congress by senior highway-patrol administrators. They said that pressure from the federal government to enforce compliance with the 55-mph limit had forced them to take patrol officers away from other safety activities and move them to the task of speed-limit enforce-
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Points in the graph are determined as follows: The National Safety Council computes the percentage change in total US highway fatalities for each month compared to the same month one year earlier. Then, to smooth out random events, they compute the four-month moving average associated with each month. The graph plots these four-month moving averages.

There might also have been a reallocation of traffic when speed limits were raised. Previously, if a driver wanted to go faster than the limit on the heavily policed Interstate highways, he might have moved to one of the parallel two-lane roads. Though much more dangerous, these roads had very little speed enforcement. Raising speed limits would lure such drivers back to the Interstates, thus reallocating traffic from dangerous roads to safe ones.

Finally, speed variance among cars may have decreased when speed limits were raised. Speed variance is highly dangerous because it produces more overtaking and passing and hence more chances for collisions. Thus when setting speed limits, it is critical to choose a limit that drivers are willing to obey. Suppose most drivers wanted to go faster than 55 mph: some obeyed the limit, some ignored it. Raising the limit would give the law-abiding drivers a chance to speed up, hence reducing speed variance and increasing safety.

These results do not imply that we should raise speed limits even further as a quick and easy way to increase highway safety. But it should be clear that the conventional wisdom — "speed kills" — is not a complete picture of the world. Highway safety is a much more complex matter, and should be analyzed as the outcome of a system of interdependent behaviors.
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